a “significant” risk. Some risks are plainly acceptable and others are plainly unacceptable. If, for example, the odds are one in a billion that a person will die from cancer by taking a drink of chlorinated water, the risk clearly could not be considered significant. On the other hand, if the odds are one in a thousand that regular inhalation of gasoline vapors that are 2% benzene will be fatal a reasonable person might well consider the risk significant and take the appropriate steps to decrease or eliminate it.” (Industrial Union Department, AFL-CIO v. American Petroleum Institute, 448 U.S. 601, 655. (1980)). OSHA would welcome comments that would enable it to shed light on the acceptability of risk levels within this million-fold range. OSHA has had less experience in evaluating significant risk for the broad range of other adverse health effects experienced by workers who are exposed to hazardous levels of chemical substances. OSHA invites discussion on appropriate risk levels for effects such as neurotoxicity, reproductive effects, and organ toxicity that may represent significant risks, and on appropriate criteria (such as severity and reversibility of the effect) that should be considered to determine when risks of a given magnitude represent a significant risk.
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Authority: This document was prepared under the direction of Joseph A. Dear, Assistant Secretary of Labor for the Occupational Safety and Health, 200 Constitution Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20210.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 19th day of January, 1996.
Joseph A. Dear,
Assistant Secretary of Labor.

NOTICE 96–001
National Environmental Policy Act; Near Earth Asteroid Rendezvous Mission

AGENCY: National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

ACTION: Finding of no significant impact.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969, as amended (42 U.S.C. 4321, et seq.), the Council on Environmental Quality (CEO) Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of NEPA (40 CFR Parts 1500–1508), and NASA policy and procedures (14 CFR Part 1216 Subpart 1216.3), NASA has made a finding of no significant impact (FONSI) with respect to the proposed Near Earth Asteroid Rendezvous (NEAR) mission, which would involve a flight to and orbit about the near Earth asteroid (433) Eros. The baseline mission calls for the NEAR spacecraft to be launched aboard a Delta II 7925 from Cape Canaveral Air Station (CCAS), Florida, in February 1996.

DATES: Comments on the FONSI must be provided in writing to NASA on or before February 23, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to Ms. Elizabeth Beyer, NASA Headquarters, Code SLP, 300 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20546. The Environmental Assessment (EA) prepared for the NEAR mission which supports this FONSI may be reviewed at the following locations:

(a) NASA Headquarters, Library, Room J20, 300 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20546.
(b) Spaceport USA, Room 2001, John F. Kennedy Space Center, Florida, 32899. Please call Lisa Fowler beforehand at 407–867–2468 so that arrangements can be made.
(c) Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Visitors Lobby, Building 249, 4800 Oak Grove Drive, Pasadena, CA 91109 (818–354–5179).

The EA may also be examined at the following NASA locations by contacting the pertinent Freedom of Information Act Office:
(d) NASA, Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, CA 94035 (415–604–4190).
(e) NASA, Dryden Flight Research Center, Edwards, CA 93523 (805–258–3448).
(f) NASA, Kennedy Space Center, Greenbelt, MD 20771 (301–286–0730).
(g) NASA, Johnson Space Center, Houston, TX 77058 (713–483–8612).
(h) NASA, Langley Research Center, Hampton, VA 23665 (804–864–6125).
(i) NASA, Lewis Research Center, 21000 Brookpark Road, Cleveland, OH 44135 (216–433–2313).
(k) NASA, Stennis Space Center, MS 39529 (601–688–2164).

A limited number of copies of the EA are available by contacting Ms. Elizabeth Beyer at the address or telephone number indicated herein.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: NASA has reviewed the EA prepared for the NEAR mission and has determined that it represents an accurate and adequate analysis of the scope and level of associated environmental impacts. The EA is incorporated by reference in this FONSI.

NASA is proposing to launch the NEAR mission, which would involve a single orbiting spacecraft to Eros in 1999. Following launch and injection into a heliocentric transfer orbit in February 1996, there would be an Earth swingby in January 1998 which will change the heliocentric orbital inclination by about 10 degrees to intercept the orbit of Eros. The initial flyby of Eros would be at a closest approach distance of 500 kilometers (km) (310 miles (mi.)) and would allow an initial reconnaissance of Eros by several instruments and an initial determination of mass and rotational state. Orbital insertion about Eros would occur a few days later in a circular 1000 km (621 mi.) orbit, followed a few weeks later by insertion into a circular 200 km (124 mi.) orbit face-on to the direction of Earth. The orbit would then be lowered in stages, as the asteroid shape and gravity models are refined, until the nominal rendezvous orbit radius of 35 km (22 mi.) is attained. The spacecraft carries no radioactive material, except for a minor calibration source which consists of 30 microcuries of Fe55 (iron-55). The proposed action calls for using a Delta II 7925 launch vehicle with a Payload Assist Module-Delta (PAM–D) upper stage to inject the NEAR spacecraft into its heliocentric transfer orbit.

The science objective for the NEAR mission is to investigate the properties of a single asteroid, the rendezvous target, 433 Eros. Near earth asteroids are of fundamental scientific importance they may preserve clues to early solar system processes and to conditions
consideration. After burning its propellant to depletion, the second stage would remain in low Earth orbit until its orbit eventually decayed. The NEAR Project has followed the NASA guidelines regarding orbital debris and minimizing the risk of human casualty for uncontrolled reentry into the Earth's atmosphere. No other impacts of environmental concern have been identified.

The level and scope of environmental impacts associated with the launch of the Delta II 7925 vehicle are well within the envelope of impacts that have been addressed in previous FONSI's concerning other launch vehicles and spacecraft. No significant new circumstances or information relevant to environmental concerns associated with the launch vehicle have been identified which would affect the earlier findings.

On the basis of the NEAR EA, NASA has determined that the environmental impacts associated with the mission would not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the quality of the human environment. NASA will take no final action prior to the expiration of the 30-day comment period.

Dated: January 17, 1996.

Wesley T. Huntress, Jr.,
Associate Administrator for Space Science.
[FR Doc. 96–917 Filed 1–23–96; 8:45 am]
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Notice of Advisory Committee Meetings

With the combination of the Federal Government furlough and the blizzard, NSF was unable to provide timely notice of a number of advisory committee meetings that had been scheduled. The list below provides notice of these meetings in accord with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463).

1. Special Emphasis Panel in Graduate Education (57)
   Date: February 4–10, 1996 and February 14–16, 1996
   Contact: Susan Duby at 703–306–1694
   Agenda: To review and evaluate applications submitted to the Graduate Research Fellowship Program

2. Special Emphasis Panel in Chemical & Transport Systems (1190)
   Date: January 22, 1996
   Contact: Farley Fisher at 703–306–1370
   Agenda: To review and evaluate Faculty Early Career Award proposals

   Date: January 22–23, 1996
   Contact: Roger Arndt at 703–306–1371
   Agenda: To review and evaluate nominations for the Faculty Early Career Award proposals

   Date: January 22, 1996
   Contact: Maria Burka at 703–306–1370
   Agenda: To review and evaluate Faculty Early Career Award proposals submitted to the Chemical Reaction Processes Program

   Date: January 24, 1996
   Contact: Milton Linevsky at 703–306–1370
   Agenda: To review and evaluate Faculty Early Career Award proposals

   Date: January 29, 1996
   Contact: Farley Fisher at 703–306–1370
   Agenda: To review and evaluate Engineering Research Equipment Award

   Date: January 30, 1996
   Contact: Robert Wellek at 703–306–1371
   Agenda: To review and evaluate nominations for the FY96 Research Equipment Grant proposals

3. Special Emphasis Panel in Chemical (1191)
   Date: January 29, January 29–30, February 12–13, and February 13, 1996
   Contact: Karolyn Einstein at 703–306–1850
   Agenda: To review and evaluate proposals submitted to the Faculty Early Career Development Program

   Date: February 8–9, 1996
   Contact: Karolyn Einstein at 703–306–1850
   Agenda: To review and evaluate applications for Postdoctoral Fellowships in Chemistry

4. Special Emphasis Panel in Cross Disciplinary Activities (1193)
   Date: January 30, 1996
   Contact: Rita Rodriguez 703–306–1980
   Agenda: To review and evaluate CISE Postdoctoral Research Associates in Computational Science and Engineering.

5. Special Emphasis Panel in Electrical and Communications Systems (1196)
   Date: January 25, 1996
   Contact: Deborah Crawford or George Lea at 703–306–1340
   Agenda: To review and evaluate Computational Engineering proposals

   Date: February 1, 1996
   Contact: Paul Werbos at 703–306–1340
   Agenda: To review and evaluate Neuroengineering proposals

6. Special Emphasis Panel in Human Resource Development
   Date: January 24, 25, 26, 31, 1996
   Contact: Betty Ruth Jones and Alexandra King at 703–306–1633
   Agenda: To review and evaluate Comprehensive Partnerships for Minority Student Achievement Proposals

7. Special Emphasis Panel in International Programs (1201)
   Date: January 25–26, 1996
   Contact: Randy Soderquist at 703–306–1701
   Agenda: To review and evaluate Summer Programs in Japan proposals

   Date: February 5–6, 1996
   Contact: Susan Parris or Randy Soderquist at 703–306–1701

   Date: January 22, 1996
   Contact: Maria Burka at 703–306–1370
   Agenda: To review and evaluate Faculty Early Career Award proposals

   Date: January 24, 1996
   Contact: Milton Linevsky at 703–306–1370
   Agenda: To review and evaluate Faculty Early Career Award proposals submitted to the Chemical Reaction Processes Program

   Date: January 29, 1996
   Contact: Farley Fisher at 703–306–1370
   Agenda: To review and evaluate Engineering Research Equipment Award

   Date: January 30, 1996
   Contact: Robert Wellek at 703–306–1371
   Agenda: To review and evaluate nominations for the FY96 Research Equipment Grant proposals

   Date: January 29, January 29–30, February 12–13, and February 13, 1996
   Contact: Karolyn Einstein at 703–306–1850
   Agenda: To review and evaluate proposals submitted to the Faculty Early Career Development Program

   Date: February 8–9, 1996
   Contact: Karolyn Einstein at 703–306–1850
   Agenda: To review and evaluate applications for Postdoctoral Fellowships in Chemistry

   Date: January 30, 1996
   Contact: Rita Rodriguez 703–306–1980
   Agenda: To review and evaluate CISE Postdoctoral Research Associates in Computational Science and Engineering.

   Date: January 25, 1996
   Contact: Deborah Crawford or George Lea at 703–306–1340
   Agenda: To review and evaluate Computational Engineering proposals

   Date: February 1, 1996
   Contact: Paul Werbos at 703–306–1340
   Agenda: To review and evaluate Neuroengineering proposals

   Date: January 24, 25, 26, 31, 1996
   Contact: Betty Ruth Jones and Alexandra King at 703–306–1633
   Agenda: To review and evaluate Comprehensive Partnerships for Minority Student Achievement Proposals

   Date: January 25–26, 1996
   Contact: Randy Soderquist at 703–306–1701
   Agenda: To review and evaluate Summer Programs in Japan proposals

   Date: February 5–6, 1996
   Contact: Susan Parris or Randy Soderquist at 703–306–1701