National Aeronautics and Space Administration

Headquarters Washington, DC 20546-0001



APR 2 9 2019

RECORD OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

The National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Record of Environmental Consideration (REC) for the Psyche Mission

1.0 Introduction

The National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 as amended (42 U.S.C. 4321, et seq.), requires federal agencies to consider potential environmental impacts during program and project decision-making. NASA must comply with the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) regulations for implementing the Procedural Provisions of NEPA [40 CFR Parts 1500-1508], NASA's NEPA regulations [14 CFR, Part 1216, Subpart 1216.3], as well as NASA's NEPA policy [NPR 8580.1]. NASA has also prepared an Environmental Assessment (EA) (Ref: Environmental Assessment (Final) for Launch of NASA Routine Payloads, November 2011) to assess the environmental impacts of missions launched with spacecraft that are considered routine payloads from existing launch facilities.

Spacecraft defined as routine payloads utilize materials, quantities of materials, launch vehicles, launch sites, and operational characteristics that are consistent with normal spacecraft preparation and flight activities at Cape Canaveral Air Force Station (CCAFS) and the Kennedy Space Center (KSC), among others. The environmental impacts of launching routine payloads from these sites falls within the range of routine, ongoing, and previously documented impacts that have been determined not to be significant.

NASA program and projects are responsible for complying with NEPA. The Psyche mission is managed by the Planetary Missions Program Office at NASA Marshall Space Flight Center (MSFC) and the mission has been awarded to NASA's FFRDC, the Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL), who will design and construct the payload. As such, the attached Environmental and Facility Checklists were completed by the JPL NEPA Manager and reviewed by the Program Executive at NASA Headquarters. The checklists along with criteria defined in NASA's Routine Payload EA were then used to evaluate whether the subject Psyche mission qualifies for designation as a NASA Routine Payload.

This REC serves to document NASA review and determination under NEPA for the Psyche mission.

2.0 Mission Description

NASA's planned Psyche mission seeks to understand a previously unexplored component of the early building blocks of planets – iron cores.

The Psyche mission will explore one of the most intriguing targets in the main asteroid belt between Mars and Jupiter – a giant metal asteroid, known as 16 Psyche, about three times farther away from the Sun than is the Earth. The asteroid measures about 130 miles (210 kilometers) in diameter and, unlike most that are rocky or icy bodies, is thought to be comprised mostly of metallic iron and nickel, similar to Earth's core. Because Earth's core cannot be measured directly, Psyche offers a unique window into the violent history of collisions and accretion that created terrestrial planets.

The Psyche spacecraft is targeted to launch in summer 2022 and travel to the asteroid using solarelectric (low-thrust) propulsion, arriving in 2026, following a Mars flyby and gravity-assist in 2023. After arrival, the mission plan calls for 21 months spent at the asteroid, mapping it and studying properties.

The Psyche spacecraft will carry a multispectral imager, a gamma ray and neutron spectrometer (GNRS), and a magnetometer; conduct radio science; and include a Deep Space Optical Communication (DSOC) technology demonstration. The multispectral imager consists of a pair of identical cameras designed to acquire geologic, compositional, and topographic data. The gamma ray and neutron spectrometer will detect, measure, and map Psyche's elemental composition. The magnetometer is designed to detect and measure the remnant magnetic field of the asteroid. The Psyche mission will use the X-band radio telecommunications system to measure Psyche's gravity field to high precision. When combined with topography derived from onboard imagery, this will provide information on the interior structure of Psyche. The Psyche mission also will test a sophisticated new laser communication technology that encodes data in photons (rather than radio waves) to communicate between a probe in deep space and Earth.

During the nominal mission, the spacecraft would perform science operations from four staging orbits that become successively closer. The stages are Orbit A for characterization (41 orbits), Orbit B for topographic studies (162 orbits), Orbit C for gravity science (369 orbits), and Orbit D for elemental mapping (585 orbits). Additional information on the spacecraft and planned mission is available at www.nasa.gov/psyche.

3.0 Compliance Documentation and Conclusion

The Psyche mission has been reviewed in accordance with the NASA Routine Payload (NRP) criteria established in the "Environmental Assessment for Launch of NASA Routine Payloads on Expendable Launch Vehicles," dated November 2011 and Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) dated November 22, 2011. The Psyche mission will not carry any pathogenic organisms or return samples to Earth.

The GNRS on the Psyche mission would utilize a 0.1 microcurie (3.7 kBq) Cesium 137 calibration source. The total A2 mission multiple would be 1x10⁻⁸ which is less the Envelope Payload Characteristic value of 10. The Radioactive Materials On-Board Report is attached.

Regarding the use of Class IV lasers, the DSOC Project anticipates receiving a Letter of Determination from the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) stating that the uplink laser is in compliance with Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) requirements for nominal ocular hazard distance. The uplink laser also would be registered with the United States Air Force (USAF) Strategic Command Laser Clearinghouse (LCH), from which the DSOC project anticipates receiving a waiver because it does not exceed the Department of Defense irradiance threshold. The downlink laser from DSOC would have a nominal ocular hazard distance of approximately 50 meters from the laser aperture. The JPL Laser Safety Officer does not anticipate the need for a USAF LCH waiver for the DSOC downlink laser to be operated aboard the Psyche spacecraft.

The completed JPL facility checklist confirms that design and construction of the spacecraft is not expected to require any facility construction activity and will conform with environmental permits and environmental management system plans and commitments. The Psyche mission launch vehicle will be either an Atlas V or Falcon, and launch site either Kennedy Space Center (KSC) or Cape Canaveral Air Force Station (CCAFS). These candidate launch vehicle/launch site combinations fall within the scope of the EA.

Based upon the analyses, NASA has determined that the Psyche mission fits within the envelope payload characteristics described by the 2011 NRP Checklist and therefore, qualifies as a Routine Payload. Any impacts from the mission are anticipated to be minor and transient.

The program is responsible for reviewing any significant changes in the scope of the payload or activities conducted as part of the Psyche mission to ensure they fall within the NASA Routine Payload criteria. If an aspect of the mission falls outside the scope of this REC, additional environmental review and/or documentation will be completed.

Thomas H. Zurbuchen Associate Administrator

Science Mission Directorate, NASA HQ

Enclosures:

Environmental Evaluation and Request for Categorization of the Psyche Mission as a NASA Routine Payload

Radioactive Materials On-Board Report - Psyche

NASA Routine Payload Environmental Assessment Checklist

4/29/19

JPL Facility Environmental Evaluation Checklist - Psyche

JPL Facility Environmental Evaluation Checklist - DSOC

Environmental Evaluation and Request for Categorization of the Psyche Mission as a NASA Routine Payload

The proposed Psyche mission has been reviewed in accordance with the Routine Payload criteria established by the "Final Environmental Assessment for Launch of NASA Routine Payloads on Expendable Launch Vehicles," and Finding of No. Significant Impact (FONSI) dated November 2011 (2011 NRP EA). This review indicates that the Psyche spacecraft fits within the envelope payload characteristics described by the 2011 NRP EA checklist. Therefore, it is requested that NASA designate the Psyche mission as a NASA Routine Payload. Supporting documentation and NASA Routine Payload Checklist are attached for your review.

Signed:

Signed:

J. Mark Phillips, Manager Launch Approval Engineering

Office

Henry W. Stone, Manager

Psyche Project

Description of Proposed Mission:

Psyche is both the name of an asteroid orbiting the Sun between Mars and Jupiter (officially referred to as (16) Psyche) — and the name of a proposed Discovery mission to visit that asteroid. The Psyche spacecraft would launch from Kennedy Space Center (KSC) or Cape Canaveral Air Force Station (CCAFS), Florida (FL) aboard an Atlas V or Falcon launch vehicle and travel to the asteroid using solar-electric (low-thrust) propulsion (SEP). Following a Mars flyby and gravity-assist in 2023, it would arrive at Psyche in 2026. After arrival, the mission would spend 21 months mapping the asteroid and studying its properties. At the end of its mission, the spacecraft would remain in orbit around the asteroid.

The Planetary Missions Program Office at NASA Marshall Space Flight Center (MSFC) would provide Program Management for Psyche. NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL) would provide Project Management; Mission Assurance; Systems Engineering; X-band Telecommunications Subsystem; Psyche Compute Element; Low-power Distribution Assembly; Flight Software; Assembly, Test, and Launch Operations (ATLO); and mission operations. The spacecraft SEP chassis would be managed by a JPL subcontract with SSL (a Division of Maxar), which would also provide the attitude control, propulsion, thermal control, structure and harness subsystems.

The proposed Psyche payload would also include the following JPL-managed instruments:

- Dual fluxgate magnetometers would be supplied by the University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA), in a gradiometer configuration, to characterize the magnetic field of the asteroid. It would be composed of two identical high-sensitivity magnetic field sensors located at the middle and outer end of a 2-meter (m) (6-foot) boom. The Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) would lead the magnetometer investigation. As such, the magnetometer team would be based at the MIT and at UCLA.
- Redundant Multispectral Imagers (MI) would be managed by Arizona State University (ASU) under its contract with NASA and built by Malin Space Science Systems under a subcontract with ASU. The MI would provide high-resolution images using filters to discriminate between Psyche's metallic and silicate constituents. They would also be used to optically navigate to the asteroid. The instrument would consist of a pair of identical cameras designed to acquire geologic, compositional, and topographic data. The purpose of the second camera would be to provide redundancy for the mission-critical optical navigation.
- Gamma Ray and Neutron Spectrometer (GRNS), to be supplied by the Applied Physics Laboratory (APL) at Johns Hopkins University (JHU), would detect, measure, and map Psyche's elemental composition, determine elemental composition, particularly the concentrations of iron, nickel, silicon, and potassium. The GRNS instrument would utilize a 0.1 microcurie (3.7 kBq) Cesium-137 (Cs-137) calibration source. The instrument would be mounted on a 2-m (6-foot) boom to distance the sensors from background radiation created by energetic particles interacting with the spacecraft and to provide an unobstructed field of view.
- The spacecraft's X-Band telecommunication system would serve two purposes. First, it would provide the primary means by which the spacecraft communicates with Earth (commands and telemetry). Second, it would serve as the science instrument for the X-band Gravity Science Investigation that would measure Psyche's gravity field to high precision. When combined with topography derived from onboard imagery, this would provide information on the interior structure of Psyche. The Gravity Science Investigation team would be based at MIT and JPL.
- Psyche would also accommodate and test a Deep Space Optical Communications (DSOC) instrument as a technology demonstration, as described in the Discovery Announcement of Opportunity (AO). DSOC would be a sophisticated new laser communication technology that would encode data in photons (rather than radio waves) to communicate between a probe in deep space and Earth. Using light instead of radio would allow the spacecraft to communicate more data in a given amount of time. The DSOC hardware would be provided as Government furnished equipment (GFE) by NASA to the Psyche project. The DSOC Project Team would be at JPL. The DSOC project would be solely responsible for additional optical ground stations

required for its operation. DSOC would not be required for Psyche to accomplish its Mission Level 1 requirements.

Statement of Purpose and Need:

NASA published a new Strategic Plan in 2018, which includes four strategic themes: Discover, explore, develop, and enable. These themes correspond to NASA's missions of scientific discovery of the Earth, of other worlds, and of the cosmos as a whole; missions of exploration in our solar system with humans and robotic probes that expand the frontiers of human experience; and missions of development that advance new technologies in aeronautics and space systems that allow American industry to create and expand a nascent space marketplace to serve the needs of space exploration, both here on Earth and in near-Earth environments. In addition, the Agency has a number of activities in support areas that enable our missions.

NASA's Strategic Goal 1 is to expand human knowledge though new scientific discoveries. Under Strategic Objective 1.1: Understand the Sun, Earth, Solar System, and Universe, NASA would conduct scientific studies of the Earth and Sun from space, return data and samples from other bodies in the solar system, peer out into the vast reaches of the universe, and play a catalyzing role in lunar robotic exploration by supporting innovative approaches to advancing science. These efforts are guided by National priorities and recommendations from the National Academies' decadal surveys and implemented through a balanced portfolio of programs. Missions for NASA's Discovery program lie outside the bounds of a decadal strategic plan, so the most recent decadal study report, *Visions and Voyages for Planetary Science in the Decade 2013-2022*, makes no recommendations on specific Discovery flight missions. However, the committee emphasized that the Discovery program has made important and fundamental contributions to planetary exploration and can continue to do so in the coming decade.

NASA's Science Mission Directorate (SMD) conducts scientific exploration enabled by the use of space observatories and space probes that view the Earth from space, observe and visit other bodies in the solar system, and peer out into our Galaxy and beyond. The Space Science program portfolio comprises the following areas: flight mission development, research, applications development, and technology development. These areas are responsible for conducting and sponsoring research, collecting and disseminating new observations, developing new technologies and predictive capabilities, and demonstrating innovative and practical uses of the program's data and results for societal benefit. In addition, NASA develops partnerships with other national and international organizations to enhance economic security and environmental stewardship to benefit society.

The Planetary Science Research Program supports investigations to help ascertain the content, origin, and evolution of the solar system and the potential for life elsewhere. The Planetary Science Research portfolio contains specific Program Elements aimed at addressing these strategic objectives.

As part of the Planetary Science Division within SMD, the purpose of NASA's Discovery Program is to offer the scientific community the opportunity to assemble a team and design exciting, focused investigations that complement NASA's larger planetary science explorations. The main objective of the Discovery Program is to enhance human understanding of the Solar System by exploring the planets, their moons, and small bodies such as comets and asteroids.

The Psyche mission would contribute to the above-mentioned goals by exploring a highly improbable metal world, the Psyche asteroid. The Psyche mission would also enable NASA to demonstrate Deep Space Optical Communication (DSOC) at locations in the solar system of interest to NASA (e.g., Mars).

The Psyche mission would seek to understand a previously unexplored component of the early building blocks of planets: iron cores. Psyche would:

- Determine whether (16) Psyche is a core, or if it is unmelted material.
- Determine the relative ages of (16) Psyche's surface regions.
- Determine whether small metal bodies incorporate the same light elements as are expected in the Earth's high-pressure core (silicon, potassium, sulfur, carbon and oxygen).

- Determine whether the asteroid (16) Psyche was formed under more oxidizing or more reducing conditions than Earth's core.
- Characterize (16) Psyche's topography.

Special Considerations:

The following special considerations are applicable to the Psyche project:

Class 4 Lasers:

The Psyche and DSOC Projects are both in the formulation phase, and the DSOC Project has not yet competed all of the necessary interagency approvals for the ground uplink at the Optical Communication Telescope Laboratory (OCTL) at the Table Mountain Facility (TMF). The DSOC Project anticipates receiving a Letter of Determination from the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) stating that the uplink laser is in compliance with FAA requirements for nominal ocular hazard distance. The uplink laser also would be registered with the United States Air Force (USAF) Strategic Command Laser Clearinghouse (LCH), from which the DSOC project anticipates receiving a waiver because it does not exceed the Department of Defense irradiance threshold. The downlink laser from DSOC would have a nominal ocular hazard distance of approximately 50 meters from the laser aperture. The JPL Laser Safety Officer does not anticipate the need for a USAF LCH waiver for the DSOC downlink laser to be operated aboard the Psyche spacecraft.

Cs-137 Calibration Source:

The GRNS instrument would utilize a 0.1 microcurie (3.7 kBq) calibration source. The total A2 mission multiple would be 1x10⁻⁸, which is less the Envelope Payload Characteristic value of 10. The Radioactive Materials On-Board Report is attached.

JPL Facility Requirements:

The Psyche Project and DSOC Project have coordinated with the JPL Environmental Affairs Program Office (EAPO) to ensure Project activities at JPL are within the limits and requirements described by JPL facility permits and environmental documentation. Changes to Project facility needs or requirements would be coordinated with the EAPO to ensure compliance with all pertinent permits and environmental documentation.

The proposed task would include the use of an enclosed laser system in building 161-106. The laser system is operating under a current JPL pre-Occupational Safety Review (pre-OSR). All personnel involved have been trained in Personal Protective Equipment (PPE), safety measures, hazard communication, etc. Thus, the proposed task, conducted according to the requirements of the pre-OSR and safety pre-test reviews, would not pose a substantial threat to worker health and safety.

JPL would receive the flight GRNS instrument in December 2020, which would include a very small 0.1 microcurie (3.7 kBq) Cs-137 calibration source. The JPL Systems Safety Office will work with the Occupational Safety Program Office (OSPO) to receive the Cs-137 source to ensure compliance with JPL's radioactive materials license, and for completion and approval of the Use Authorization (UA) form. All onsite operations involving the radioactive source will be reviewed and approved by both offices.

		A Alexander	Statute of the	Radioactive M	aterials On-Boa	rd Report - PSY	CHE	
Isotope	Date Arrived On-Board	Number of Sources	Activity per Source at Arrival (Curies)	Isotope Half-Life (years) ¹	Activity as of Mission Start (Curies)	A2 Limit For Isotope (Curies)	Current A2 Multiple for Each Isotope Source	9 Remarks
esium-137		1	1.00E-07	3.00E+01	1.00E-07	1.00E+01	1.00E-08	
				The second				
	a Carta Line					ALCOHOLOGY /	THE SECOND	
otal Activity: ission A2 Multip					1.00E-07		1.00E-08	Will be a supplied to the supp

This report is best available information as of 09/04/2018. Confirmed changes in radioactive mater Column 4 activity is individual source activity (Curies) at launch Column 6 activity is total activity (Curies) at launch for the line item Column 8 is A2 Multiple at launch for the line item

Dep PM for

Date: Nov 15, 2018

1 - Isotope Half-Life Reference: IAEA Safety Standards, Specific Safety Guide No. SSG-26, Advisory Material for the IAEA Regulations for the Safe Transport of Radioactive Material (2012 Edition)

	Evaluation Checklist for Applicability of the NA	SA Routine Payload Environmental Assessment (N	RP EA)
	JECT NAME: Psyche Mission	LAUNCH DATE: NET August 20	22	
CC	ROJECT NTACT: Robert A. Mase	PHONE: 818-354-8990 E-MAIL: robert.a.mase@jj	pl.nasa	a.gov
DES	ACTION would travel to the asteroid using solar-electric propu CRIPTION: demonstration and a 0.1 microcurie Cesium 137 calib		ie. Psyc C) techno	he ology
Not add	e: "YES" responses require explanation in the comment field at tional studies or preparation of additional NEPA compliance do	the end of each section, and may require the conduct of ocumentation.	YES	NO
A.	Sample Return:			
	Would the candidate mission return a sample from an ex	traterrestrial body?		1
Com	nent:			
В.				
	Nould the candidate spacecraft carry radioactive material 0 or more?	s in quantities that produce an A2 mission multiple value of		✓
Comi	The Gamma Ray Neutron Spectrometer would carry a 0.1 micro	curie Cesium 137 calibration source. The total A2 mission multiple would be 1 athority of the NASA Nuclear Flight Safety Assurance Manager. See attached F	x 10-8, w Radioacti	hich is ve
	Launch Site and Launch Vehicles:			
	Vould the candidate spacecraft be launched on a vehicle able 1 of this checklist?	and launch site combination other than those listed in		✓
	Vould launch of the proposed mission exceed the approventicle or launch site?	ed or permitted annual launch rate for the particular launch		✓
Comr	nent:			
D.			-	
1	Vould the candidate mission require the construction of a acilities? (If YES, provide a brief description below of the const	,		V
Comr	isturbance and/or excavation would occur) nent:			
E.	Health and Safety:			
(Vould the candidate spacecraft utilize batteries, ordnance or other subsystem components in quantities or levels exc able 2 of this checklist?	, hazardous propellant, radiofrequency transmitter power, eeding the Envelope Payload Characteristics (EPCs) in		V
H	Vould the expected risk of human casualty from spacecra IASA Standard 8719.14?	ft planned orbital reentry exceed the criteria specified by		√
â	Vould the candidate spacecraft utilize any potentially haza mount precludes acquisition of the necessary permits prior invelope Payload Characteristics (EPCs)?	ardous material as part of a flight system whose type or or to its use or is not included within the definition of the		V
	Vould the candidate mission, under nominal conditions, reases into the Earth's atmosphere or space?	elease material other than propulsion system exhaust or inert		V
0	re there changes in the preparation, launch or operation escribed in Chapter 3 of the Final Environmental Assessi aunch Vehicles dated November 2011?	of the candidate spacecraft from the standard practices ment for Launch of NASA Routine Payloads on Expendable		V
6. V	Vould the candidate spacecraft utilize an Earth-pointing la peration (ANSI Z136.1-2007 and ANSI Z136.6-2005)?	ser system that does not meet the requirements for safe	✓	
		1 of 3		

Evaluation Checklist for Applicability of the NASA	Routine Payload Environmental Assessment (NF	RP EA)				
PROJECT NAME: Psyche Mission	LAUNCH DATE: NET August 202	22				
PPOJECT	ONE: 818-354-8990 E-MAIL: robert.a.mase@jp		gov			
PROPOSED Psyche would taunch a single spacecraft from KSC, F ACTION Psyche would travel to the asteroid using solar-electric DESCRIPTION: (DSOC) technology demonstration and a 0.1 microcur	c propulsion. It would also carry the Deep Space Optical Commun	vehicle. Ications				
Note: "YES" responses require explanation in the comment field at the	e end of each section, and may require the conduct of	YES	NO			
additional studies or preparation of additional NEPA compliance docu						
 Would the candidate spacecraft contain, by design (e.g., a so bacteria, protozoa, and viruses) which can produce disease beyond Biosafety Level 1 (BSL 1)¹? 	or toxins hazardous to human health or the environment		V			
Comment: Psyche would carry DSOC as a technology demonstration. DS ground terminal would be at NASA's Table Mountain Facility. F	ICC would utilize a Class 3b or 4 laser in both the flight and ground tem FAA and DoD Laser Clearinghouse documentation would be obtained p	ninals. Tr	ne DR.			
F. Other Environmental Issues:						
Would the candidate spacecraft have the potential for substa States?	intial effects on the environment outside the United		V			
Would launch and operation of the candidate spacecraft have related to environmental issues?			V			
3. Would any aspect of the candidate spacecraft that is not add have the potential for substantial effects on the environment material not included in the checklist)?	ressed by the Envelope Payload Characteristics (EPCs) (i.e., previously unused materials, configurations or		V			
Comment:						
G. Applicability of the NASA Routine Payload Environ	nmental Assessment (NRP EA):					
Pending approval by NASA, the NASA Routine Payload Environm coverage for the proposed action as currently described. Additional considerations, if any:	nental Assessment (NRP EA) 🔽 does 🗖 does not provid	de adeq	uate			
Individual Completing Checklist:	Date of Completion:					
Janis Graham	10/31/2018					
Launch Approval Engineer, Psyche						
Concurred by NMONEPA Manager	Date:					
Alas	11-15-2018					
The use of biological agents on payloads is limited to materials with a safety rating of "Biosafety Level 1." This classification includes defined and characterized strains of viable microorganisms not known to consistently cause disease in healthy human adults. Personnel working with Biosafety Level 1 agents follow standard microbiological practices including the use of mechanical pipetting devices, no eating drinking, or smoking in the laboratory, and required hand-washing after working with agents or leaving a lab where agents are stored. Personal protective equipment such as gloves and eye protection is also recommended when working with biological agents.						
	2 of 3					

Data Tables from NASA "Final Environmental Assessment For Launch Of Nasa Routine Payloads On Expendable Launch Vehicles", November 2011

Table 1. Launch Vehicles and Launch Sites

		Space Launch Co	omplexes and Pads		
Launch Vehicle and Launch Vehicle Family	Eastern Range (CCAFS)	Western Range (VAFB)	USAKA/RTS	WFF	KLO
Athena I, IIc, III ^b	LC-46	CA Spaceport (SLC-8)	N/A	Pad 0	LP-1
Atlas V Family	LC-41	SLC-3	N/A	N/A	N/A
Delta II Family	LC-17	SLC-2	N/A	N/A	N/A
Delta IV Family	LC-37	SLC-6	N/A	N/A	N/A
Falcon 1/1e	LC-36	SLC-4W	Omelek Island	Pad 0	LP-3b
Falcon 9	LC-40	SLC-4E	Omelek	Pad 0	LP-3 ^b
Minotaur I	LC-20 and/or LC-45	SLC-8	N/A	Pad 0	LP-1
Minotaur II-III	LC-20 and/or LC-46	SLC-8	N/A	Pad 0	LP-1
Minotaur IV	LC-20 and/or LC-46	SLC-8	N/A	Pad 0	LP-1
Minotaur V	LC-20 and/or LC-46	SLC-8	N/A	Pad 0	LP-1
Pegasus XL	CCAFS skidstrip, KSC SLF	WAFB Airfield	Kwajalein Island	WFF Airfield	N/A
Taurus	LC-46 and/or LC-2D	SLC-576E	N/A	Pad 0	LP-1
Taurus II/Antares ^e	NA	NA.	N/A	Pad 0	LP-3 ^b

Key: CA-California; CCAFS-Cape Canaveral Air Force Station; KSC-Kennedy Space Center; LC-Launch Complex; LP-Launch Pad; MARS-Mid-Attantic Regional Spaceport; SLC-Space Launch Complex; SLF-Shuttle Landing Facility; USAKA/RTS-United States Army Kwajalieln Atoli/Reagan Test Site; VAFB-Vandenberg Air Force Base; WFF-Wallops Flight Facility.

Table 2. Summary of Envelope Payload Characteristics (EPCs) by Spacecraft Subsystems

Structure	 Unlimited: aluminum, beryllium, carbon resin composites, magnesium, titanium, and other materials unless specified as limited.
Propulsion ^a	 Liquid propellant(s); 3,200 kg (7,055 lb) combined hydrazine, monomethylhydrazine and/or nitrogen tetroxide. Solid Rocket Motor (SRM) propellant; 3,000 kg (6,614 lb) Ammonium Perchlorate (AP)- based solid propellant (examples of SRM propellant that might be on a spacecraft are a Star-48 kick stage, descent engines, an extra-terrestrial ascent vehicle, etc.)
Communications	Various 10-100 Watt (RF) transmitters
Power	 Unlimited Solar cells; 5 kilowatt-Hour (kW-hr) Nickel-Hydrogen (NIH₂) or Lithium Ion (LHon) battery, 300 Ampere-hour (A-hr) Lithium-Thionyl Chloride (LISOCI), or 150 A-hr Hydrogen Nickel-Cadmium (NICd), or Nickel-hydrogen (NI-H₂) battery.
Science Instruments	10 kilowatt radar American National Standards Institute safe use of lasers (see Section 4.1.2.1, Final Environmental Assessment for Launch of NASA Routine Payloads on Expendable Launch Vehicles, November 2011)
Other	U. S. Department of Transportation (DoT) Class 1.4 Electro-Explosive Devices (EEDs) for mechanical systems deployment Radioactive materials in quantities that produce an A2 mission multiple value of less than 10 Propulsion system exhaust and inert gas venting Sample returns are considered outside of the scope of this environmental assessment

¹ Propellant limits are subject to range safety requirements.

Key: kg-kilograms; lb-pounds.

Any other launch vehicle/launch site combination for which NASA has completed or cooperated on the NEPA Compiliance

¹ Athena III and LP-3 are currently under design

² While not explicitly listed in this table, the Minotaur IV includes all configurations of this launch vehicle, including the Minotaur IV+, which is a Minotaur IV with a Star 48V 4th stage.

The Taurus II LV was renamed Artiares after publication of the Final Environmental Assessment for Launch of NASA Routine Payloads on Expendable Launch Vehicles in November 2011.

Facility Environmental Evaluation Checklist This checklist is to be completed by the EAPO in coordination with the JPL program/project manager who proposes on-site activities. This checklist will become part of the environmental impact assessment. No work is to be conducted until this form							
and any environmental impact assessment has been completed and approved by NASA. Title of Proposed Action: Psyche EAPO 19EIA1							
Description of Proposed Action: The Psyche project, within NASAs Discovery Program, proposes to send a spacecraft to visit the main (16) Psyche. This small-body rendezvous mission would not include any sample return, combustion-baystems, or any significant amount of radioactive material. The spacecraft would use Xenon-based eleand Nitrogen cold gas for attitude control. The Gamma Ray Spectrometer science instrument on the use a very small amount (0.1 microCurie) of CS-137 as a calibration source. The Psyche mission would DSOC (Deep Space Optical Communications) technology demonstration that would employ lasers in region of the electromagnetic spectrum to demonstrate the capability to deliver information rates 10	-belt m pased p ectric p spaced also in the nea	ropuls ropuls raft we nclude ar-infr faster	sion sion ould the ared than				
conventional deep space telecom systems. As currently envisioned, JPL's Table Mountain facility woul- uplink and Caltech's Hale Telescope at Palomar Observatory would be used for DSOC downlink.	d be us	ed fo	DSOC				
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,							
Start Date and Duration:2017 (Launch 2022) Today's Date	:Nov 7	, 2018					
Name of Prog/Project Manager:Robert Mase (Deputy PM) Phone:818-35	4-899	0					
Facility Location: JPL Oak Grove	ion Bl	dg/R	oom:				
Environmental Impacts (Check appropriate box and provide sufficient details for	Yes	No	May				
assessment. Explain any "Yes" and "Maybe" responses in the Assessment field on page 3.) A. Geologic			be				
Would the proposed action induce erosion (Water/Wind) either on- or off-site?		[7]					
Would the proposed action induce erosion (water/whita) either on- or on-site? Would the proposed action affect surface stability?	Н	V					
Would the proposed action affect surface stability? Would the proposed action affect agricultural lands?		V	H				
B. Water	Yes	No	May be				
Would the proposed action affect a natural body of water?		V					
Would the proposed action alter storm water flow?		V					
 Would the proposed action result in a >10% change of facility potable water use (>250GPM)? 		5					
4. Would the proposed action impact chemical quality (pH, dissolved solids, organics, etc.) of wastewater or stormwater?		V					
Would the proposed action impact physical quality (temperature, suspended solids, etc.) of wastewater or stormwater?		S					
Would the proposed action require a modification to the existing stormwater permit?		V					
7. Would the proposed action require a modification to the existing industrial wastewater permit?		V					
C. Air	Yes	No	May be				
Would the proposed action generate objectionable odors?		V					
Would the proposed action release toxic substances?		V					
Would the proposed action release particulates?		V					
4. Would the proposed action be classified as either a New Source Emission or a major modification to an existing source (SCAQMD Regulation XIII)?		V					
D. Natural Resources	Yes	No	May be				
Would the proposed action affect an undisturbed natural area?		V					
Would the proposed action affect game animals and fish?		V					
Page 1 of 3							

Would the proposed action affect NRHP-Listed Properties? Would the proposed action affect properties eligible or potentially eligible for the NRHP? Would the proposed action affect known historic landmarks? Would the proposed action affect known and/or potential archeological areas?	Yes	No No	May be
5. Would the proposed action affect a critical habitat? 6. Would the proposed action affect protected trees (e.g.: oak)? E. Land Use 1. Would the proposed action affect floodplains/wetlands? 2. Would the proposed action affect off-site land use? 3. Would the proposed action affect on-site land use? 4. Would the proposed action affect aesthetics? F. Cultural Resources 1. Would the proposed action affect NRHP-Listed Properties? 2. Would the proposed action affect properties eligible or potentially eligible for the NRHP? 3. Would the proposed action affect known historic landmarks? 4. Would the proposed action affect known historic landmarks? G. Socio-Economic/Environmental Justice	Yes	V V V No	be
6. Would the proposed action affect protected trees (e.g.: oak)? E. Land Use 1. Would the proposed action affect floodplains/wetlands? 2. Would the proposed action affect off-site land use? 3. Would the proposed action affect on-site land use? 4. Would the proposed action affect aesthetics? F. Cultural Resources 1. Would the proposed action affect NRHP-Listed Properties? 2. Would the proposed action affect properties eligible or potentially eligible for the NRHP? 3. Would the proposed action affect known historic landmarks? 4. Would the proposed action affect known and/or potential archeological areas? G. Socio-Economic/Environmental Justice	Yes	V V V No	be
E. Land Use 1. Would the proposed action affect floodplains/wetlands? 2. Would the proposed action affect off-site land use? 3. Would the proposed action affect on-site land use? 4. Would the proposed action affect aesthetics? F. Cultural Resources 1. Would the proposed action affect NRHP-Listed Properties? 2. Would the proposed action affect properties eligible or potentially eligible for the NRHP? 3. Would the proposed action affect known historic landmarks? 4. Would the proposed action affect known and/or potential archeological areas? G. Socio-Economic/Environmental Justice	Yes	V V V No	be
2. Would the proposed action affect off-site land use? 3. Would the proposed action affect on-site land use? 4. Would the proposed action affect aesthetics? F. Cultural Resources 1. Would the proposed action affect NRHP-Listed Properties? 2. Would the proposed action affect properties eligible or potentially eligible for the NRHP? 3. Would the proposed action affect known historic landmarks? 4. Would the proposed action affect known and/or potential archeological areas? G. Socio-Economic/Environmental Justice		V V No	D May
3. Would the proposed action affect on-site land use? 4. Would the proposed action affect aesthetics? F. Cultural Resources 1. Would the proposed action affect NRHP-Listed Properties? 2. Would the proposed action affect properties eligible or potentially eligible for the NRHP? 3. Would the proposed action affect known historic landmarks? 4. Would the proposed action affect known and/or potential archeological areas? G. Socio-Economic/Environmental Justice		V V No	
3. Would the proposed action affect on-site land use? 4. Would the proposed action affect aesthetics? F. Cultural Resources 1. Would the proposed action affect NRHP-Listed Properties? 2. Would the proposed action affect properties eligible or potentially eligible for the NRHP? 3. Would the proposed action affect known historic landmarks? 4. Would the proposed action affect known and/or potential archeological areas? G. Socio-Economic/Environmental Justice		No	
F. Cultural Resources 1. Would the proposed action affect NRHP-Listed Properties? 2. Would the proposed action affect properties eligible or potentially eligible for the NRHP? 3. Would the proposed action affect known historic landmarks? 4. Would the proposed action affect known and/or potential archeological areas? G. Socio-Economic/Environmental Justice		No	
Would the proposed action affect NRHP-Listed Properties? Would the proposed action affect properties eligible or potentially eligible for the NRHP? Would the proposed action affect known historic landmarks? Would the proposed action affect known and/or potential archeological areas? Socio-Economic/Environmental Justice			
Would the proposed action affect properties eligible or potentially eligible for the NRHP? Would the proposed action affect known historic landmarks? Would the proposed action affect known and/or potential archeological areas? G. Socio-Economic/Environmental Justice		V	
NRHP? 3. Would the proposed action affect known historic landmarks? 4. Would the proposed action affect known and/or potential archeological areas? G. Socio-Economic/Environmental Justice			
Would the proposed action affect known and/or potential archeological areas? G. Socio-Economic/Environmental Justice		V	
G. Socio-Economic/Environmental Justice		V	
		7	
Would the proposed action affect regional employment?	Yes	No	May be
		V	
2. Would the proposed action disproportionally affect low income or minority populations?		V	
H. Noise	Yes	No	May be
 Would the proposed action expose people to severe noise levels (>80dBA)? 		V	
Would the proposed action increase existing community noise contours?		V	
I. Health and Safety	Yes	No	May be
Would the proposed action generate ionizing or non-ionizing radiation?	П	П	V
Would the proposed action use pesticides, insecticides, herbicides, fungicides, or rodenticides?		V	
Would the proposed action require entry into a confined space?	П	V	П
Would the proposed action include the use, acquisition, or storage of toxic or hazardous substances?			7
 Would the proposed action generate medical, hazardous, toxic, or radiological waste? 			V
	Yes	No	May be
Would the proposed action affect existing CERCLA infrastructure (e.g.: wells)?		V	П
2. Would the proposed action he located in an area of known future CERCIA		V	
Would the proposed action result in exposure or disturbance of contaminated soil		V	
	Yes	No	May be
Would the proposed action reduce parking?		V	
Would the proposed action affect access to utility or infrastructure support systems?		V	
Would the proposed action affect roadway transportation systems?		V	
Would the proposed action increase hazards to motor vehicles or pedestrians?		V	
5. Would the proposed action require the acquisition or storage of solid waste storage containers?		V	

Assessment: I. Health and Safety# 1 - In the developme JPL building 161-112. The proposed activit 17-3370-002. JPL has established processe requirements. JPL Occupational Safety Proprepare for outdoor laser safety compliand Board (LSRB) and JPL Use Authorization recomplication to change, any laser communication been fulfilled.	ty has been assessed by the JPL LSO and as and procedures in place to comply was gram Office (OSPO) has begun coording to, which would include FAA, Laser Clear quirements. Given that the coordination	of documented in preOSR with DSOC laser operations safety nation with the DSOC project to aringhouse, NASA Laser Safety Review on at this time is preliminary and
JPL would receive the flight Gamma Ray Sp (0.1microCurie (3700 dps)) CS-137 calibrati Safety Program Office (OSPO) to receive th completion and approval of the Use Autho be reviewed and approved by both offices.	ion source. The JPL Systems Safety Offi ne CS-137 source to ensure compliance prization (UA) form. All onsite operation	ice will work with the Occupational with JPL's radiological license, and for
Signature of Program/Project Manager	" MA A Mum	Date: Nov 7, 2018
Envi	ronmental Analysis Determinati	on
Title of Proposed Action:Psyche (inc	cluding DSOC)	
(16) Psyche. This small-body rendezvous misystems, or any significant amount of radio and Nitrogen cold gas for attitude control. use a very small amount (0.1 microCurie) of DSOC (Deep Space Optical Communication region of the electromagnetic spectrum to conventional deep space telecom systems. uplink and Caltech's Hale Telescope at Paloi	active material. The spacecraft would un The Gamma Ray Spectrometer science of CS-137 as a calibration source. The Ps s) technology demonstration that wou demonstrate the capability to deliver in As currently envisioned, JPL's Table Mo	ise Xenon-based electric propulsion instrument on the spacecraft would syche mission would also include the ild employ lasers in the near-infrared information rates 10 times faster than buntain facility would be used for DSOC
It has been determined that the above a	action (choose one):	
Policy Requirement (NPR) which Environmental Impact Statement (3)(i) Research, development, and to	rical Exclusions pursuant to 14 CFR 1 a suggests no need for an Environment (EIS). List applicable Categorical Ex- esting in compliance with all applicable or requirements and Executive Orders	tal Assessment (EA) or clusion(s): e Federal, Federally recognized
	nts under the provisions of the (cite su wing Environmental Assessment (EA	
Statement (EIS):	wing Environmental Assessment (EA	y or Environmental Impact
	and dated:	
(attach checklist or analysis).	indicated by the result of an existing e	ivironmental checklist or analysis
Prepared by Faustino Chirino (JPL EAPO)	Signature: Faustino Chira	Date: Nov 7, 2018
Approved by:Steve Slaten (Environmental and Facilities Manager, NASA Management Office, JPL)	Signature:	Date:
Page 3 of 3		

activi	Facility Environmental Evaluation Checklist thecklist is to be completed by the EAPO in coordination with the JPL program/project manager who project. This checklist will become part of the environmental impact assessment. No work is to be conducted.	oposes ed until	on-sit this fe	e
and a	ny environmental impact assessment has been completed and approved by NASA.			
DSO	of Proposed Action: C (Deep Space Optical Communication) EAPO 18NEP			
NASA	ription of Proposed Action: 's Deep Space Optical Communication (DSOC) as a technology demonstration project that w			
	unication technology to encode data in photons, rather than radio waves, which could enable to			
	more data to Earth. As currently envisioned, JPL's Optical Communication Telescope Labora ble Mountain Observatory would be utilized for uplink to the DSOC flight terminal, and Calte) at
	ope at Palomar Observatory would be used for downlink.		arc	
Star	Date and Duration: 2017 (Launch 2022) Today's Date:	Dec 7	201	7
	e of Prog/Project Manager: William Klipstein Phone: (818)			
	ity Location: JPL Oak Grove GDSCC TMF Proposed Actions TBD			oom:
Env	ronmental Impacts (Check appropriate box and provide sufficient details for			May
asses	sment. Explain any "Yes" and "Maybe" responses in the Assessment field on page 3.)	Yes	No	be
A. (Geologic			
1	Would the proposed action induce erosion (Water/Wind) either on- or off-site?		V	
2.	Would the proposed action affect surface stability?		V	
3.	Would the proposed action affect agricultural lands?		V	П
В. 1	Vater	Yes	No	May be
1.	Would the proposed action affect a natural body of water?		V	
2.		V		
3.	(>250GPM)?		V	
4.	Would the proposed action impact chemical quality (pH, dissolved solids, organics, etc.) of wastewater or stormwater?		V	П
5.	Would the proposed action impact physical quality (temperature, suspended			
	solids, etc.) of wastewater or stormwater?	Ц	✓	
6.	permit?		V	
7.	Would the proposed action require a modification to the existing industrial wastewater permit?		Z	
C. A	ir	Yes	No	May be
1.	Would the proposed action generate objectionable odors?		V	
2.	Would the proposed action release toxic substances?		V	
3.	Would the proposed action release particulates?		V	
4.	Would the proposed action be classified as either a New Source Emission or a major modification to an existing source (SCAQMD Regulation XIII)?		$ \overline{Z} $	
D. I	atural Resources	Yes	No	May
	Would the proposed action affect an undisturbed natural area?		Ø	be
1.				

	3.	Would the proposed action affect threatened or endangered species?		1	
	4.	Would the proposed action affect nesting birds?		1	
	5.	Would the proposed action affect a critical habitat?		V	
	6.	Would the proposed action affect protected trees (e.g.: oak)?		V	
E.	L	and Use	Yes	No	May be
	1.	Would the proposed action affect floodplains/wetlands?		V	
	2.	Would the proposed action affect off-site land use?		1	
	3.	Would the proposed action affect on-site land use?		V	
	4.	Would the proposed action affect aesthetics?		1	
F.	C	ultural Resources	Yes	No	May be
	1.	Would the proposed action affect NRHP-Listed Properties?		V	
	2.	Would the proposed action affect properties eligible or potentially eligible for the NRHP?		V	
_	3.	Would the proposed action affect known historic landmarks?	П	/	
_	4.	Would the proposed action affect known and/or potential archeological areas?		Z	
G	S	ocio-Economic/Environmental Justice	Yes	No	May
<u> </u>					be
	1.	Would the proposed action affect regional employment?	Ш	\square	Ш
	2.	Would the proposed action disproportionally affect low income or minority populations?		V	
H.	N	oise	Yes	No	May be
	1.	Would the proposed action expose people to severe noise levels (>80dBA)?		1	
	2.	Would the proposed action increase existing community noise contours?		V	
I.	H	lealth and Safety	Yes	No	May be
	1.	Would the proposed action generate ionizing or non-ionizing radiation?	П	П	1
	2.	Would the proposed action use pesticides, insecticides, herbicides, fungicides, or rodenticides?			
	3.		П	V	\Box
		Would the proposed action include the use, acquisition, or storage of toxic or			
		hazardous substances?	Ш	√	ш
	5.	Would the proposed action generate medical, hazardous, toxic, or radiological waste?		V	
J.	C	ERCLA	Yes	No	May be
	1.	Would the proposed action affect existing CERCLA infrastructure (e.g.: wells)?	П	V	
		Would the proposed action be located in an area of known future CERCLA activity?			
	3.	Would the proposed action result in exposure or disturbance of contaminated soil or groundwater?			
K.	A	ctivity/Systems	Yes	No	May be
	1.	Would the proposed action reduce parking?		Z	
_	2.				ᆜ
	2.	systems?		\checkmark	
	3.	Would the proposed action affect roadway transportation systems?		V	
	4.	Would the proposed action increase hazards to motor vehicles or pedestrians?		V	
	5.	Would the proposed action require the acquisition or storage of solid waste		Z	
	5.	Would the proposed action require the acquisition or storage of solid waste storage containers?			
Pa	ge 2	2 of 3			

Assessment: I. Health and Safety# 1: In the process of degenerated in JPL building 161-112. This propreOSR 17-3370-002. In addition, JPL has operations safety requirements. JPL Occupa DSOC project to prepare for outdoor lasers NASA Laser Safety Review Board (LSRB) this time is preliminary and subject to change relevant requirements have been fulfilled.	oposed activity has been assessed by the established processes and procedures in ational Safety Program Office (OSPO) I safety compliance, which would include and JPL Use Authorization requiremen	e JPL LSO and documented in place to comply with DSOC laser has begun coordination with the FAA, Laser Clearinghouse, ats. Given that the coordination at
Signature of Program/Project Manager:	www.	Date: 6 Dec 2017
Enviro	onmental Analysis Determination	
Title of Proposed Action: DSOC (Dee		
Description of Proposed Action:	p space opinion communication)	
communication technology to encode data in return more data to Earth. As currently envir the Table Mountain Observatory would be u Telescope at Palomar Observatory would be	sioned, JPL's Optical Communication T stilized for uplink to the DSOC flight ter	elescope Laboratory (OCTL) at
It has been determined that the above ac	tion (choose one):	
Policy Requirement (NPR) which s Environmental Impact Statement (F (3)(i) Research, development, and t	cal Exclusions pursuant to 14 CFR 1216 suggests no need for an Environmental A EIS). List applicable Categorical Exclusive sesting in compliance with all applicable or requirements and Executive Orders.	Assessment (EA) or sion(s):
Is exempt from NEPA requirement	s under the provisions of the (cite super	seding law):
Is adequately covered in the follow Statement (EIS):	ing Environmental Assessment (EA) or	r Environmental Impact
Has no entriconmental impact as inc	and dated: dicated by the result of an existing envir	onmental checklist or analysis
(attach checklist or analysis).	in the second of the caloning cut in	The same same of the same of t
Prepared by:Faustino Chirino (JPL EAPO)	Signature: Faustino Chirino	Date: Dec 7, 2017
Approved by: Steve Slaten (Environmental and Facilities Manager, NASA Management Office, JPL)	Signature: SSbaten	Date: 12-7-17
Page 3 of 3		