

entrepreneur as provided by sections 121(b)(5) and 162(b) of the Immigration Act of 1990 and section 203(b)(5) of the Immigration and Nationality Act. The information collected on this form will be used by the service to determine eligibility for the requested immigration benefit.

(5) *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:* 2,000 responses at 1.25 hours per response.

(6) *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* 2,500 annual burden hours.

If you have additional comments, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions, or additional information, please contact Richard A. Sloan 202-514-3291, Director, Policy Directives and Instructions Branch, Immigration and Naturalization Service, U.S. Department of Justice, Room 5307, 425 I Street, NW., Washington, DC 20536. Additionally, comments and/or suggestions regarding the item(s) contained in this notice, especially regarding the estimated public burden and associated response time may also be directed to Mr. Richard A. Sloan.

In addition information is required contact: Mr. Robert B. Briggs, Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Information Management and Security Staff, Justice Management Division, Suite 850, Washington Center, 1001 G Street, NW., Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: July 23, 1998.

Robert B. Briggs,

Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 98-20193 Filed 7-28-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-18-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Immigration and Naturalization Service

Agency Information Collection Activities: Extension of Existing Collection; Comment Request

ACTION: Notice of Information Collection Under Review; Request to Enforce Affidavit of Financial Support and Intent to Petition for Custody for Public Law 97-359.

The Department of Justice, Immigration and Naturalization Service has submitted the following information collection request for review and clearance in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The

proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for "sixty days" until September 28, 1998.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information should address one or more of the following four points:

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of this information collection:

(1) *Type of Information:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

(2) *Title of the Form/Collection:*

Request to Enforce Affidavit of Financial Support and Intent to Petition for Custody for Public Law 97-359.

(3) *Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department of Justice sponsoring the collection:* Form I-363. Adjudications Division, Immigration and Naturalization Service.

(4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:* Primary: Individuals or households. This form is used to determine whether an Affidavit of Financial Support and Intent to Petition for Legal Custody requires enforcement.

(5) *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:* 50 responses at 30 minutes (.50) per response.

(6) *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* 25 annual burden hours.

If you have additional comments, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions, or additional information, please contact Richard A. Sloan 202-514-3291, Director, Policy Directives and

Instructions Branch, Immigration and Naturalization Service, U.S. Department of Justice, Room 5307, 425 I Street, NW., Washington, DC 20536. Additionally, comments and/or suggestions regarding the item(s) contained in this notice, especially regarding the estimated public burden and associated response time may also be directed to Mr. Richard A. Sloan.

If additional information is required contact: Mr. Robert B. Briggs, Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Information Management and Security Staff, Justice Management Division, Suite 850, Washington Center, 1001 G Street, NW., Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: July 23, 1998.

Robert B. Briggs,

Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 98-20194 Filed 7-28-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-18-M

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[Notice 98-100]

National Environmental Policy Act; New Millennium Program

AGENCY: National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

ACTION: Finding of no significant impact.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4321, *et seq.*), the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of NEPA (40 CFR parts 1500-1508), and NASA policy and procedures (14 CFR part 1216 subpart 1216.3), NASA has made a finding of no significant impact (FONSI) with respect to the proposed New Millennium Program (NMP) and individual missions (as defined and described in the associated Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA)), which would involve a series of Earth orbiting and deep space spacecraft to be launched over the time period of 1998 through 2010 from Vandenberg Air Force Base (VAFB), California, and Cape Canaveral Air Station (CCAS), Florida.

DATES: Comments on the FONSI must be provided in writing to NASA on or before August 28, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to Dr. William L. Piotrowski, Senior Program Executive, Mission & Payload Development Division, Code SD, NASA Headquarters,

Washington, DC 20546-0001. The PEA prepared for the New Millennium Program which supports this FONSI may be reviewed at the following locations:

(a) NASA Headquarters, Library, Room 1J20, 300 E Street, SW, Washington, DC 20546 (202-358-0167).

(b) Vandenberg Air Force Base, Technical Library, Building 7015, 806 13th Street, Vandenberg AFB, CA 93437.

(c) Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Visitors Lobby, Building 249, 4800 Oak Grove Drive, Pasadena, CA 91109 (818-354-5179).

(d) Spaceport USA, Room 2001, John F. Kennedy Space Center, Florida, 32899. Please call Lisa Fowler beforehand at 407-867-2497 so that arrangements can be made.

The PEA may also be examined at the following NASA locations by contacting the pertinent Freedom of Information Act Office:

(e) NASA, Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, CA 94035 (650-604-4191).

(f) NASA, Dryden Flight Research Center, Edwards, CA 93523 (805-258-2663).

(g) NASA, Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD 20771 (301-286-0730).

(h) NASA, Johnson Space Center, Houston, TX 77058 (281-483-8612).

(i) NASA, Langley Research Center, Hampton, VA 23665 (757-864-2497).

(j) NASA, Lewis Research Center, 21000 Brookpark Rd, Cleveland, OH 44135 (216-433-2755).

(k) NASA, Marshall Space Flight Center, Huntsville, AL 35812 (256-544-5549).

(l) NASA, Stennis Space Center, MS 39529 (228-688-2164).

A limited number of copies of the PEA are available by contacting Dr. William L. Piotrowski at the address or telephone number indicated herein.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. William L. Piotrowski, 202-358-1544.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: NASA has reviewed the PEA prepared for the NMP and has determined that it represents an accurate and adequate analysis of the scope and level of associated environmental impacts. The PEA is hereby incorporated by reference in this FONSI.

NASA is proposing to develop, build and launch a series of Earth orbiting and deep space spacecraft over the time period of 1998 through 2010 from VAFB, California and CCAS, Florida. NMP spacecraft would be designed to validate essential technologies and capabilities which contribute to

reducing the cost of future space and Earth science missions. Within the primary objective of technology validation, as much science as possible would be conducted. The program focuses on advanced technologies (i.e., instrumentation and operations), which offer the potential to contribute significantly to reducing the cost of future space and Earth science missions while increasing their relative capability in achieving scientific objectives. The investment now in the NMP could begin to provide tangible benefits, especially in validating solar electric propulsion, before the year 2000. The reduction in size of spacecraft and the increase in capability that NMP is designed to foster could bring about future economic benefits for the U.S. Space Program.

Spacecraft final assembly, propellant loading, and checkout of payload systems would be performed in existing Payload Processing Facilities at VAFB and CCAS. The spacecraft would then be transported to an existing Space Launch Complex at VAFB or CCAS where it would be integrated with the launch vehicle. Due to varying payload weights and mission specific requirements, NMP spacecraft may require different launch vehicles. The launch vehicle selected as an environmental upper "bounding case" (i.e., maximum expected environmental impacts), is the Delta II 7925. The NMP Program would not increase launch rates at CCAS and VAFB above existing or previously approved levels.

In addition to developing and validating spacecraft, instrumentation, and operations technologies, NMP is planned to demonstrate new types of management and engineering techniques that reduce development, launch, and operations costs. Computer-aided design, and concurrent project engineering and design are being used to accelerate and enhance the design process to lead to rapid implementation. NMP flight-validated technologies may also find their way into the consumer market for use in such applications as autonomous rail transportation systems, new microsensors for automotive and biomedical technology, and high quality imagery and enhanced memory media for computer systems.

Alternatives to the proposed action that were considered included those that: (1) Utilize an alternate launch vehicle, or (2) cancel the NMP (the "no action" alternative). Of the launch vehicles evaluated, U.S. launch vehicles proposed for launch of NMP spacecraft (specifically the Delta II, Titan IIG, Athena, Taurus, and the Pegasus) are best suited for the NMP for the following reasons: (1) The alternative

launch vehicles examined are approximately equal in their potential impacts to the environment, and these impacts are not individually or cumulatively significant; (2) proposed U.S. launch vehicles closely match NMP performance requirements and allow for variations in payload size and weight; and/or (3) selected launch vehicles cost the same or less than the examined alternatives and are similar in terms of reliability.

Maximum expected impacts to the human environment associated with the program are bounded by and arise almost entirely from the normal launch of the Delta II 7925. Air emissions from the exhaust produced by the solid propellant graphite epoxy motors and liquid first stage primarily include carbon monoxide, hydrochloric acid, aluminum oxide in soluble and insoluble forms, carbon dioxide, and deluge water mixed with propellant by-products. Air impacts would be short-term and not significant. Short-term water quality and noise impacts, as well as short-term effects on plants and animals would occur only in the vicinity of the launch complex. There would be no impact on threatened or endangered species or critical habitat, cultural resources, wetlands or floodplains. The NMP would follow the NASA guidelines regarding orbital debris and minimizing the risk of uncontrolled reentry into the Earth's atmosphere. Accident scenarios have also been addressed and indicate no potential for substantial impact to the human environment. None of the NMP missions covered under the NMP PEA will have radioactive materials aboard the spacecraft, except for the possibility of very small quantities on certain missions for instrumentation purposes. Consequently, no potential substantial adverse impacts from radioactive substances are anticipated. The PEA provides a set of questions that must be addressed in determining whether or not a proposed future NMP mission falls within the scope of the PEA and this FONSI. No other individual or cumulative impacts of environmental concern have been identified.

The level and scope of environmental impacts associated with the launch of NMP spacecraft are well within the envelope of impacts that have been addressed in previous FONSI's concerning other launch vehicles and spacecraft. NMP spacecraft would not increase launch rates nor utilize launch systems beyond the scope of approved programs at VAFB or CCAS. No NMP-specific processing or launch activities have been identified that would require new permits and/or mitigation measures

beyond those currently in place or in coordination at VAFB and CCAS. No significant new circumstances or information relevant to environmental concerns associated with the launch vehicle have been identified which would affect the earlier findings. As specific spacecraft and missions are fully defined, they will be reviewed in light of the NMP PEA. If NASA determines that future payloads have the potential for substantially different environmental impacts, further NEPA reviews will be conducted and documented, as appropriate.

On the basis of the NMP PEA, NASA has determined that the environmental impacts associated with the NMP and the specified missions identified as within the scope of the PEA would not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the quality of the human environment. NASA will take no final action prior to the expiration of the 30-day comment period.

Wesley T. Huntress, Jr.,
Associate Administrator for Space Science.

Michael R. Luther,
Deputy Associate Administrator for Earth Science.
[FR Doc. 98-20265 Filed 7-28-98; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7510-01-P

NATIONAL ARCHIVES AND RECORDS ADMINISTRATION

Space Planning for the National Archives and Records Administration; Public Meeting

The National Archives and Records Administration announces the following meetings:

—Tuesday, August 6, 1998, from 7 p.m.–9 p.m. at the National Archives and Records Administration, Northeast Region (New York City), 201 Varick Street, New York, NY 10014-4811. For further information call 781-647-8745 or e-mail diane.leblanc@waltham.nara.gov.

—Monday, August 10, 1998, from 5 p.m.–7 p.m. at the National Archives and Records Administration, Central Plains Region (Lee's Summit), 200 Space Center Drive, Lee's Summit, MO 64064. For further information call 816-926-6920 or e-mail john.allshouse4@kansascity.nara.gov.

—Wednesday, August 19, 1998, from 7:30 p.m.–9:30 p.m. at the Wilda Marston Theatre, Loussac Public Library, 3600 Denali Street, Anchorage, Alaska 99501-2145. For further information call 907-271-2443 or e-mail archives@alaska.nara.gov.

—Monday, August 17, 1998, from 4 p.m.–6 p.m., at the National Archives

and Records Administration, National Personnel Records Center, 9700 Page Avenue, St. Louis, MO 63132-5100. For further information call 314-538-4005 or e-mail david.petree@stlouis.nara.gov.

This is a series of meetings at which NARA is seeking public input for a study of its space needs for the next 10 years. NARA representatives will explain the reasons for undertaking a space plan, its objectives, and the planning process, and will invite comments and answer questions. In addition to helping NARA with its planning, this meeting is part of a National Performance Review initiative called *Conversations With America: My Government Listens*. NARA urges everyone interested to attend.

Reservations are not required. The meeting will be open to the public.

Dated: July 23, 1998.

John W. Carlin,
Archivist of the United States.
[FR Doc. 98-20234 Filed 7-28-98; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7515-01-M

NATIONAL EDUCATION GOALS PANEL

Task Force on the Future of the Goals; Meeting

AGENCY: National Education Goals Panel.

ACTION: Notice of Meeting.

SUMMARY: This notice sets forth the date and location of a forthcoming meeting of the Task Force on the Future of the Goals. This notice also describes the functions of the National Education Goals Panel and the Task Force on the Future of the Goals.

DATE AND TIME: Saturday, August 1, 1998 from 9:00 a.m. to 11:30 a.m.

ADDRESSES: Milwaukee Hilton Hotel, 509 West Wisconsin Avenue, (Walker Room), Milwaukee, WI 53203.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ken Nelson, Executive Director, 1255 22nd Street, NW, Suite 502, Washington, DC 20037. Telephone: (202) 724-0015.

SUMMARY: The National Education Goals Panel (NEGP) was established to monitor, measure and report state and national progress toward achieving the eight National Education Goals, and report to the states and the Nation on that progress. The authorizing legislation for the National Education Goals and the Goals Panel are expected to expire in 1999. At its February, 1998, meeting, the Panel decided to form a Task Force to make recommendations on the future of the Goals after the year 2000.

AGENDA ITEMS: The meeting of the Task Force on the Future of the Goals is open to the public. Agenda items will include: (1) A review of the charge to the Task Force; (2) Presentations by Governor Roy Romer, (D), Colorado and Chester E. Finn, of the Hudson Institute, on the History of why the Goals were established, expectation for the Goals and the Panel and Recommendations, possibilities for the future; (3) Staff Responses to Panel Requests; (4) a Panel discussion on the future of the Goals and next steps for the Panel; and (5) the Panel will receive a commissioned paper on Grading State Standards.

Dated: July 23, 1998.

Ken Nelson,
Executive Director, National Education Goals Panel.

[FR Doc. 98-20186 Filed 7-28-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4010-01-M

NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND HUMANITIES

Cooperative Agreement for a Study of Jazz Artists

AGENCY: National Endowment for the Arts.

ACTION: Notification of availability.

SUMMARY: The National Endowment for the Arts is requesting proposals leading to the award of a Cooperative Agreement for a study of Jazz Artists in four cities. The cities will be chosen from among the following pairs: New York/Philadelphia, Detroit/Kansas City, Atlanta/New Orleans, San Francisco/Los Angeles. The issues to be considered will include venues for performance, distribution of work through recordings, education and training, and extent of participation in health insurance and retirement programs. Those interested in receiving the Solicitation should reference Program Solicitation PS 98-06 in their written request and include two (2) self-addressed labels. Verbal requests for the Solicitation will not be honored.

DATES: Program Solicitation PS 98-06 is scheduled for release approximately August 17, 1998 with proposals due on October 19, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Requests for the Solicitation should be addressed to the National Endowment for the Arts, Grants & Contracts Office, Room 618, 1100 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Washington, DC 20506.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: William Hummel, Grants & Contracts Office, National Endowment for the Arts, Room 618, 1100 Pennsylvania