# NASA Goddard Space Flight Center RECORD OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION (REC)

## **PROJECT NAME:** GOES-S

#### 1. Description of proposed action:

The Geostationary Operational Environmental Satellite-R Series (R, S, T, U) is the next generation of geostationary weather satellites. The first in the series, GOES-R, was launched in 2016, with GOES-S scheduled to launch in 2018. GOES-R/S/T/U are NOAA-funded Geostationary Weather Satellites.

Date and/or Duration of project: Launch - March 2018
Location: CCAFS

## 2. It has been determined that the above action:

 $\boxtimes$  a. Is adequately addressed in an existing EA or EIS.

 Title:
 NASA Routine Payload EA

 Date:
 November 2011

 Reference:
 GOES R Series NRPEA MFR April 2012

□ b. Qualifies for Categorical Exclusion and has no extraordinary circumstances per 14 CFR 1216.304 (c) which would suggest a need for an Environmental Assessment.

Categorical Exclusion:

 $\Box$  c. Has no significant environmental impacts as indicated by the results of an environmental checklist and/or detailed environmental analysis.

□ d. Is exempt from NEPA requirements under the provisions of:

□ e. Will require the preparation of an Environmental Assessment.

□ f. Will require the preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement.

 $\Box$  g. Is addressed under EO12114.

Is exempt from EO12114 requirements under the provisions of:				
Action not included under EO12114:				
Qualifies for an EO12114 categorical exclusion:				
Is adequately covered in existing documentation:				
Requires an environmental summary document:				
Requires EO documentation IAW 2-4. (a) i, ii, iii:				

 $\Box$  h. Is not federalized sufficiently to qualify as a major federal action.

Beth Montgomery

November 16, 2017

Beth Montgomery GSFC NEPA Manager, Code 250

# NASA Routine Payload Evaluation and Determination Process and Checklist



After a proposed spacecraft mission is sufficiently well formulated (usually the Phase B design study), the Sponsoring Entity, in coordination with the local Environmental Management Office (EMO), will prepare an environmental evaluation. An environmental evaluation is a preliminary review that determines what aspects of the proposal are of potential environmental concern. The environmental evaluation also assists in determining the appropriate level of National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation (i.e., environmental assessment [EA], or environmental impact statement [IEIS]) for the proposal. The local EMO uses a comprehensive checklist to provide a level of rigor to this early evaluation of the proposal, helping to ensure that pertinent considerations are not overlooked. Local EMO review of the Routine Payload Checklist (RPC, below) forms the basis for evaluating the applicability of a NASA Routine Payload (NRP) spacecraft classification for a proposed mission.

The local EMO uses the completed RPC (and required attachments) to evaluate the proposed mission against the NRP EA criteria. If the EMO evaluation of the RPC indicates that a NRP categorization may be appropriate, the Sponsoring Entity documents this in an Evaluation Recommendation Package (ERP). The ERP is then processed for review and approval in accordance with established National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) procedures and guidelines. If approved, the ERP would be attached to a Record of Environmental Consideration (REC).

The Sponsoring Entity can then proceed with the proposal while monitoring the project activities, for changes or circumstances during implementation that could affect classification of the proposed mission as a NRP spacecraft. If a NRP spacecraft categorization is determined to be inappropriate, the local EMO will initiate plans for preparation of additional NEPA documentation.

NASA Routine Payload Checklist							
Project Name:     Date       GOES-R Series Flight Project / GOES-S Spacecraft     Mar 1			of Launch: , 2018				
Project Contact: Pam SullivanPhone Number: 301-286-6417Mails 417			ilstop:				
Project Start Date:     Project Location:       Jan 1, 2001     Goddard Space Flight Center							
Project Description: GOES-R/S/T/U are NOAA-funded Geostationary Weather Satellites							
A. Sample Return:		Yes	No				
1. Would the candidate mission return a sample from an extraterrestrial body?							
B. Radioactive Materials:		Yes	No				
1. Would the candidate spacecraft carry radioactive materials in quantities that produce an A2 mission multiple value of 10 or more?			$\boxtimes$				
Provide a copy of the Radioactive Materials On Board Report as per NPR 8715.3 with the ERP submittal.		Attachment					
C. Launch and Launch Vehicles:		Yes	No				
1. Would the candidate spacecraft be launched on a vehicle and launch site combination other than those indicated in Table C-1 on Page 2?			$\boxtimes$				
2. Would the proposed mission exceed the approved or permitted annual launch rate for the particular launch vehicle or launch site?							
Comments:							
D. Facilities:		Yes	No				
1. Would the candidate mission require the construction of any new facilities or substantial modification of existing facilities?							
Provide a brief description of the construction or modification required, including whether ground disturban would occur.	ice and	l/or exca	vation				
E. Health and Safety:		Yes	No				
<ol> <li>Would the candidate spacecraft utilize batteries, ordnance, hazardous propellant, radiofrequency transmitter power, or other subsystem components in quantities or levels exceeding the EPC's in Table C-2 below?</li> </ol>			$\boxtimes$				
2. Would the expected risk of human casualty from spacecraft planned orbital reentry exceed the criteria specified by NASA Standard 8719.14?							
3. Would the candidate spacecraft utilize any potentially hazardous material as part of a flight system whose type or amount precludes acquisition of the necessary permits prior to its use or is not includ within the definition of the Envelope Payload Characteristics?	led		$\boxtimes$				
4. Would the candidate mission, under nominal conditions, release material other than propulsion system exhaust or inert gases into the Earth's atmosphere or space?							
5. Are there changes in the preparation, launch or operation of the candidate spacecraft from the standard practices described in Chapter 3 of this EA?							
6. Would the candidate spacecraft utilize an Earth-pointing laser system that does not meet the requirements for safe operation (ANSI Z136.1-2007 and ANSI Z136.6-2005)?							
7. Would the candidate spacecraft contain, by design (e.g., a scientific payload) pathogenic microorganisms (including bacteria, protozoa, and viruses) which can produce disease or toxins hazardous to human health or the environment beyond Biosafety Level 1 (BSL 1) <sup>1</sup> ?							
Comments:							
The use of biological agents on payloads is limited to materials with a safety rating of "Biosafety Level 1." This classification includes defined and characterized strains of viable microorganisms not known to consistently cause disease in healthy human adults. Personnel working with Biosafety Level 1 agents follow standard microbiological practices including the use of mechanical pipetting devices, no eating, drinking, or smoking in the laboratory, and required hand-washing after working with agents or leaving a lab where agents are stored. Personal protective equipment such as gloves and eye protection is also recommended when working with biological agents.							

COES S Smara							
Project Name:     Date       GOES-R Series Flight Project / GOES-S Spacecraft     Mar 1							
Project Contact:Phone Number:MaPam Sullivan301-286-6417412					Mailstop: 117		
ect Location: ard Space Flight Center	r						
led Geostationary Weat	her Satellites						
ues:				Y	es	No	
<ol> <li>Would the candidate spacecraft have the potential for substantial effects on the environment outside the United States?</li> </ol>							
ration of the candidate environmental issues	e spacecraft have the ?	potential to create	substantial put	olic [		$\boxtimes$	
e candidate spacecra he environment (i.e., st)?	aft that is not addresse previously unused ma	ed by the EPCs hav terials, configuratio	e the potential ns or material	for not [		$\boxtimes$	
Table C-1	Launch Vehicles ar	nd Launch Sites					
	Space Launc	h Complexes and	Pads				
Eastern Range (CCAFS)	Western Range (VAFB)	USAKA/RTS	WFF		KL	С	
-46	CA Spaceport (SLC-8)	NA	Pad 0	LP-	1 <sup>a</sup>		
-41	903	NIA				NA	
	SLC-3	INA	NA	NA			
-17	SLC-2	NA	NA NA	NA NA			
-37	SLC-3 SLC-2 SLC-6	NA NA	NA NA NA	NA NA NA			
2-17 2-37 2-36	SLC-3 SLC-2 SLC-6 SLC-4W	NA NA Omelek Island	NA NA NA Pad 0	NA NA NA LP-3	3b		
17 37 36 40	SLC-3 SLC-2 SLC-6 SLC-4W SLC-4E	NA NA Omelek Island Omelek	NA NA NA Pad 0 Pad 0	NA NA NA LP-	3 <sup>b</sup>		
2-17 2-37 2-36 2-40 2-20 and/or LC-46	SLC-3 SLC-2 SLC-6 SLC-4W SLC-4E SLC-8	NA NA Omelek Island Omelek NA	NA NA NA Pad 0 Pad 0 Pad 0	NA NA NA LP-3 LP-3 LP-3	3 <sup>b</sup> 1		
17 37 36 40 20 and/or LC-46 20 and/or LC-46	SLC-3 SLC-2 SLC-6 SLC-4W SLC-4E SLC-8 SLC-8	NA NA Omelek Island Omelek NA NA	NA NA NA Pad 0 Pad 0 Pad 0 Pad 0	NA NA NA LP-3 LP-3 LP-3 LP-3	3 <sup>b</sup> 1 1		
17 37 36 40 20 and/or LC-46 20 and/or LC-46 20 and/or LC-46	SLC-3 SLC-2 SLC-6 SLC-4W SLC-4E SLC-8 SLC-8 SLC-8 SLC-8	NA NA Omelek Island Omelek NA NA NA	NA NA NA Pad 0 Pad 0 Pad 0 Pad 0 Pad 0 Pad 0	NA NA NA LP-3 LP-3 LP-3 LP-3 LP-3 LP-3	3 <sup>b</sup> 1 1 1 1		
2-17 2-37 2-36 2-40 2-20 and/or LC-46 2-20 and/or LC-46 2-20 and/or LC-46 2-20 and/or LC-46	SLC-3           SLC-2           SLC-6           SLC-4W           SLC-4E           SLC-8           SLC-8           SLC-8           SLC-8           SLC-8           SLC-8           SLC-8           SLC-8	NA NA Omelek Island Omelek NA NA NA NA	NA NA NA Pad 0 Pad 0 Pad 0 Pad 0 Pad 0 Pad 0 Pad 0	NA NA NA LP-3 LP-3 LP-3 LP-3 LP-3 LP-3 NA	3 <sup>b</sup> 1 1 1 1		
2-17 2-37 2-36 2-40 2-20 and/or LC-46 2-20 and	SLC-3       SLC-2       SLC-6       SLC-4W       SLC-4E       SLC-8       SLC-8	NA NA Omelek Island Omelek NA NA NA NA Kwajalein Island	NA NA NA Pad 0 Pad 0 Pad 0 Pad 0 Pad 0 Pad 0 WFF Airfield	NA           NA           NA           LP           LP-           LP-           LP-           NA           NA           NA	3 <sup>b</sup> 1 1 1 1		
2-17 2-37 2-36 2-40 2-20 and/or LC-46 2-20 and/or LC-46 2-20 and/or LC-46 2-20 and/or LC-46 2-20 Skidstrip 3-20 SLF 2-20 and/or LC-46	SLC-3 SLC-2 SLC-6 SLC-4W SLC-4E SLC-8 SLC-8 SLC-8 SLC-8 SLC-8 SLC-8 VAFB Airfield SLC-576E	NA NA Omelek Island Omelek NA NA NA NA Kwajalein Island NA	NA NA NA Pad 0 Pad 0 Pad 0 Pad 0 Pad 0 Pad 0 Pad 0 WFF Airfield Pad 0	NA           NA           NA           LP-3           LP-3	3 <sup>b</sup> 1 1 1 1		
	ard Space Flight Center ed Geostationary Weat Jes: Dacecraft have the por ation of the candidat environmental issues e candidate spacecra te environment (i.e., t)? Table C-1. Eastern Range (CCAFS)	ard Space Flight Center ed Geostationary Weather Satellites	ard Space Flight Center         ed Geostationary Weather Satellites         Jes:         bacecraft have the potential for substantial effects on the envir         ation of the candidate spacecraft have the potential to create environmental issues?         e candidate spacecraft that is not addressed by the EPCs have the environment (i.e., previously unused materials, configuration t)?         Table C-1. Launch Vehicles and Launch Sites         Space Launch Complexes and         Eastern Range (CCAFS)       Western Range (VAFB)         USAKA/RTS         6-46       CA Spaceport (SL C-8)	ard Space Flight Center         ed Geostationary Weather Satellites         Jes:         bacecraft have the potential for substantial effects on the environment outsid         ation of the candidate spacecraft have the potential to create substantial putenvironmental issues?         e candidate spacecraft that is not addressed by the EPCs have the potential to environment (i.e., previously unused materials, configurations or material t)?         Table C-1. Launch Vehicles and Launch Sites         Space Launch Complexes and Pads         Eastern Range (CCAFS)       Western Range (VAFB)         USAKA/RTS       WFF         -46       CA Spaceport NA	ard Space Flight Center         ed Geostationary Weather Satellites         jes:       Y         baccecraft have the potential for substantial effects on the environment outside       [         ation of the candidate spacecraft have the potential to create substantial public       [         anvironmental issues?       [         e candidate spacecraft that is not addressed by the EPCs have the potential for the environment (i.e., previously unused materials, configurations or material not t)?       [         Table C-1. Launch Vehicles and Launch Sites       [         Space Launch Complexes and Pads       [         Eastern Range (CCAFS)       Western Range (VAFB)       USAKA/RTS         -46       CA Spaceport (SL C-8)       NA       Pad 0       LP-	ard Space Flight Center         ed Geostationary Weather Satellites         Jes:       Yes         pacecraft have the potential for substantial effects on the environment outside	

**Key**: CA = California; CCAFS = Cape Canaveral Air Force Station; KSC = Kennedy Space Center; LC = Launch Complex; LP = Launch Pad; MARS = Mid-Atlantic Regional Spaceport; SLC = Space Launch Complex; SLF = Shuttle Landing Facility; USAKA/RTS = United States Army Kwajalein Atoll/Reagan Test Site; VAFB = Vandenberg Air Force Base; WFF = Wallops Flight Facility.

NASA Routine Payload Checklist							
Table C-2. Summary of Envelope Payload Characteristics by Spacecraft Subsystems							
Structure	<ul> <li>Unlimited: aluminum, beryllium, carbon resin composites, magnesium, titanium, and other materials unless specified as limited.</li> </ul>						
Propulsion <sup>a</sup>	<ul> <li>Liquid propellant(s); 3,200 kg (7,055 lb) combined hydrazine, monomethyhydrazine and/or nitrogen tetroxide.</li> <li>Solid Rocket Motor (SRM) propellant; 3,000 kg (6,614 lb) Ammonium Perchlorate (AP)-based solid propellant (examples of SRM propellant that might be on a spacecraft are a Star-48 kick stage, descent engines, an extra-terrestrial ascent vehicle, etc.)</li> </ul>						
Communications	Various 10-100 Watt (RF) transmitters						
Power	<ul> <li>Unlimited Solar cells; 5 kilowatt-Hour (kW-hr) Nickel-Hydrogen (NiH<sub>2</sub>) or Lithium ion (Li-ion) battery, 300 Ampere-hour (A-hr) Lithium-Thionyl Chloride (LiSOCI), or 150 A-hr Hydrogen, Nickel-Cadmium (NiCd), or Nickel-hydrogen (NiH<sub>2</sub>) battery.</li> </ul>						
Science Instruments	<ul> <li>10 kilowatt radar</li> <li>American National Standards Institute safe lasers (see Section 4.1.2.1)</li> </ul>						
Other	<ul> <li>U. S. Department of Transportation (DoT) Class 1.4 Electro-Explosive Devices (EEDs) for mechanical systems deployment</li> <li>Radioactive materials in quantities that produce an A2 mission multiple value of less than 10</li> <li>Propulsion system exhaust and inert gas venting</li> <li>Sample returns are considered outside of the scope of this environmental assessment</li> </ul>						

a Propellant limits are subject to range safety requirements.

Key: kg=kilograms; lb=pounds.